

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/765,014

Applicant(s)

NEVILL-MANNING ET AL.

Examiner

MIRANDA LE

Art Unit

2159

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MIRANDA LE, Examiner.

(3) _____

(2) BRIAN HOFFMAN, Reg. 39.713.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4,5,8-15,18,19,22-28 and 32-40.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant agreed to amend claims 1, 11, 15, 25 and cancel claims 26, 28, 35 as detailed in the attached examiner's amendment to further clarify the claim invention of the current application and put these claims in condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Miranda Le/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2159